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#### Abstract

We consider the two dimensional linear steady state convection diffusion problem $$
\frac{1}{R e} \triangle u(x, y)+\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u(x, y)=f(x, y)
$$ where $R e$ represents the Reynolds number, i.e., $1 / R e$ is a small parameter, and $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. Numerical methods for solving such problems, based on the use of piecewise uniform meshes appropriately condensed in the layer regions, were shown to be uniformly convergent with respect to the perturbation parameter, e.g., in [3], [4]. These methods relied on a priori knowledge of the location of the layer region. It is of interest to examine whether similarly robust results can be obtained without this a priori knowledge. To this end, a new adaptive technique is presented, again based on piecewise uniform meshes, where the location of the cut-off points between the coarse and fine meshes are moved iteratively. Algorithms for one-dimensional cases were described in [5] and [6]. Numerical experiments indicate that the computed solutions are robust with respect to $R e$.


## 1. Formulation of the Algorithms

We will consider problems where an interior layer is induced from the inflow boundary data. This interior layer will propagate across $\Omega_{2}$ as shown in figure 1. The basic algorithm produces a


Figure 1: Graphical representation of the domain $\Omega$ showing the location of the layer region.
mesh comprising piecewise-uniform submeshes which are more and more refined within the layer region. The mesh is uniform in the $y$-direction and defined by "cut-off points" in the $x$-direction. The following algorithm to find the cut-off points along every fixed $y$ value for the problem $P_{R e}$ :

$$
P_{R e}: \begin{cases}\frac{1}{R e} \Delta u(x, y)+\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u(x, y)=f(x, y), & (x, y) \in \Omega ; \\ u_{\varepsilon}(x, y)=g(x, y), & (x, y) \in \Gamma,\end{cases}
$$

[^0]For the algorithm $\operatorname{dif} f_{i, j}=\left|u_{i, j}-u_{i-1, j}\right|$ and pos represents position. PASS will represent the number of passes which are set by the user.

## Algorithm

- $k=0$.
- While $k<P A S S$
- Given $x^{(k)}$ and $y^{(k)}$ solve $P_{R e}$.
$-j=0$.
- While $j<N$
* $q_{j}=\max _{i}\left\{d i f f_{i, j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}$.
* $w=\operatorname{pos}\left(q_{j}\right)-1$
* While $\operatorname{dif} f_{w, j}>$ tol

$$
w:=w-1
$$

* End while.
* $\tau_{1, j}=x_{w}^{(k)}$
* $e=\operatorname{pos}\left(q_{j}\right)+1$
* While diffe,j $>$ tol

$$
e:=e+1
$$

* End while.
* $\tau_{2, j}=x_{e}^{(k)}$
* $j:=j+1$.
- End while
$-\mathrm{L}=\left\{\tau_{1, j}\right\}_{j=0}^{N}$ and $\left.\mathrm{R}=\left\{\tau_{2, j}\right\}_{j=0}^{N}\right)$.
$-\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_{j}=\frac{y_{j}-y_{0}}{y_{N}-y_{0}}\left(\tau_{1, N}-\tau_{1,1}\right)+\tau_{1,1}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{j}=\frac{y_{j}-y_{0}}{y_{N}-y_{0}}\left(\tau_{2, N}-\tau_{2,1}\right)+\tau_{2,1}$. New cut off points will be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\tau}_{1, j}=\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_{j}+\min \left\{0, \min _{j}\left\{\tau_{1, j}-\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_{j}\right\}\right\} \\
& \hat{\tau}_{2, j}=\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{j}+\left|\min \left\{0, \min _{j}\left\{\tilde{\mathrm{R}}_{j}-\tau_{2, j}\right\}\right\}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Form $\Omega_{2}$ in figure 2 by defining

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{l}(y)=\frac{y_{j}-y_{0}}{y_{N}-y_{0}}\left(\hat{\tau}_{1, N}-\hat{\tau}_{1,1}\right)+\hat{\tau}_{1,1} \\
x_{u}(y)=\frac{y_{j}-y_{0}}{y_{N}-y_{0}}\left(\hat{\tau}_{2, N}-\hat{\tau}_{2,1}\right)+\hat{\tau}_{2,1} \\
y_{l}(x)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad y_{u}(x)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

- Use 1 to transform $\Omega_{2}$ to $\Omega_{2}^{\prime}$ (figure 2) and $P_{R e}$ to $P_{R e}^{\prime}$.
$-k:=k+1$. Solve $P_{R e}^{\prime}$ on $\Omega_{2}^{\prime}$.
- End while

The number of mesh-points in a mesh produced by this algorithm depends on the number of passes used. If it is required to maintain a fixed number of mesh-points, the following can be added to the algorithm:

- Form $\Omega^{N}=\Omega_{A}^{N} \times \Omega_{B}^{N}$ where $\Omega_{A}^{N}=\left\{x_{i, j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1\right\}, \Omega_{B}^{N}=\left\{y_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq N-1\right\}$, $y_{j}=j / N$ and

$$
x_{i, j}= \begin{cases}4 i \hat{\tau}_{1, j}, & 0 \leq i \leq N / 4 ; \\ \hat{\tau}_{1, j}+2(j-N / 4)\left(\hat{\tau}_{2, j}-\hat{\tau}_{1, j}\right) / N, & N / 4<j \leq 3 N / 4 ; \\ \hat{\tau}_{2, j}+4(j-3 N / 4)\left(1-\hat{\tau}_{2, j}\right) / N, & 3 N / 4<j \leq N .\end{cases}
$$

- Solve $P_{R e}$ on $\Omega^{N}$ using the transformation $\xi=x+(1-y) x^{*}$ or $\xi=x-y x^{*}$ and $\eta=y$ where $x^{*}=x_{i, N}-x_{i, 1}$ to transform the grid to an orthogonal grid.


Figure 2: Transformation to a rectangular mesh
We use the following transformations

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi & =\frac{x-x_{l}(y)}{x_{u}(y)-x_{l}(y)}  \tag{1a}\\
\eta & =\frac{y-y_{l}(x)}{y_{u}(x)-y_{l}(x)} \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

from [2] where $x_{l}, x_{u}, y_{l}$ and $y_{u}$ are the four sides in figure 2(a). This transformation will be used to transform the non rectangular domain $\Omega_{2}$ to a rectangular domain $\Omega_{2}^{\prime}$ and $P_{R e}$ to $P_{R e}^{\prime}$

$$
P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}: \begin{cases}A^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+B^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}+C^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+D^{\prime} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \xi}+E^{\prime} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \eta}+F^{\prime} u_{\varepsilon}=f(\xi, \eta), & (\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_{2}^{\prime} ; \\ u_{\varepsilon}=g^{\prime}, & (\xi, \eta) \in \Gamma_{2},\end{cases}
$$

where $\Gamma_{2}=\bar{\Omega}_{2}^{\prime} \backslash \Omega_{2}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\prime}=\xi_{x}^{2}+\xi_{y}^{2} \\
& B^{\prime}=2\left(\xi_{x} \eta_{x}+\xi_{y} \eta_{y}\right) \\
& C^{\prime}=\eta_{x}^{2}+\eta_{y}^{2} \\
& D^{\prime}=\xi_{x x}+\xi_{y y}+a_{1} \xi_{x}+a_{2} \eta_{x} \\
& E^{\prime}=\eta_{x x}+\eta_{y y}+a_{1} \xi_{y}+a_{2} \eta_{y} \\
& F^{\prime}=d(\xi, \eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Numerical Experiments: Test Problem

We will use the transformation $\psi=x-y x^{*}, \eta=y$.
Consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R e} \triangle u(x, y)+\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla u(x, y)=f(x, y), \quad,(x, y) \in \Omega \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega=(-1,1) \times(0,1), R e$ is the Reynolds number, $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$

$$
a_{1}(x, y)=\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{4(1+\exp ((-4 x+4 y-2) R e / 32))}
$$

and

$$
a_{2}(x, y)=\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{4(1+\exp ((-4 x+4 y-2) R e / 32))} .
$$

The function $f(x, y)$ is chosen such that

$$
u^{e x a c t}=\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{4(1+\exp ((-4 x+4 y-2) R e / 32))}
$$

is the exact solution and the boundary conditions are calculated using the exact solution. This test problem was also used as an example by Bahdir [1]. The problem will be solved using the tolerance tol $=0.05 N^{-1}$.

(a) Initial $N=32$

(b) $\mathrm{N}=64$

Figure 3: Grid Structure for (2) with $R e=160$.


Figure 4: Iterations for (2) with $N=64$ and $R e=160$.


Figure 5: Numerical solutions of (2) for different $R e$ values with $N=64$.

Table 1: Maximum pointwise errors for the numerical solution of (2).

|  | Number of intervals $N$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Iterations <br> $R e$ | 2 | 32 | 2 | 64 | 2 |
| 100 | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.71 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.77 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.40 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.43 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 120 | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.15 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.79 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.84 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.44 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.47 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 140 | $0.16 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.17 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.86 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.90 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.47 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.50 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 160 | $0.17 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.17 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.91 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.96 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.50 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.52 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 180 | $0.18 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.19 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.96 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.10 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.52 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.55 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 200 | $0.19 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.20 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.10 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.18 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.54 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.57 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 220 | $0.21 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.21 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.11 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.11 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.57 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.59 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 240 | $0.21 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.22 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.11 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.12 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.58 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.60 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 260 | $0.23 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.23 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.12 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.12 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.60 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.62 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 280 | $0.23 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.23 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.12 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.12 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.62 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.63 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 300 | $0.24 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.24 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.12 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.12 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.63 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.64 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 320 | $0.25 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.25 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.65 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.65 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 340 | $0.25 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.26 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.66 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.66 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 360 | $0.27 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.27 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.67 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.67 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 380 | $0.27 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.27 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.13 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.68 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.68 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 400 | $0.27 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.28 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.69 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.69 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 420 | $0.28 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.28 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.69 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.69 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| 440 | $0.28 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.28 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.70 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.69 \mathrm{D}-02$ |
| $E_{\max }^{N}$ | $0.28 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.28 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.14 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.014 \mathrm{D}-01$ | $0.70 \mathrm{D}-02$ | $0.69 \mathrm{D}-02$ |

Table 2: Convergence rates for the numerical solution of (2).

|  | Number of intervals $N$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 32 |  | 64 |  |
| Iterations | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $R e$ |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 0.81 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| 120 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| 140 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.85 |
| 160 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| 180 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.90 |
| 200 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 0.92 |
| 220 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.91 |
| 240 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| 260 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.92 |
| 280 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.94 |
| 300 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.95 |
| 320 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| 340 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.95 |
| 360 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.97 |
| 380 | 0.97 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| 400 | 0.94 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.98 |
| 420 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 0.99 |
| 440 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 0.99 |
| $R_{\max }^{N}$ | 0.98 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 0.99 |



Figure 6: Nodal errors for the numerical solution of (2) for different $R e$ values with $N=64$.

## 3. Conclusion

The adaptive method resolves the internal layer well for large values of $\operatorname{Re}$ and is computationally efficient since one needs at most two iterations to fully resolve the interior layer.
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