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1 Introduction

The theory of reproducing kernels $K$ in Hilbert spaces $H$ of functions on some domain $\Omega$ is well-known [4, 10], and there are plenty of applications in Numerical Analysis, Stochastics, and Machine Learning [13]. The usual reproduction formula is

$$f(x) = (f, K(x, \cdot))_H \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega, \; f \in H,$$

but one of the most important reproduction formulas known otherwise is the Taylor formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^j, \quad \text{for all } x \in I, \; f \in F$$

for functions in some space $F$ of analytic functions in some interval $I$ around the origin of $\mathbb{R}$.
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These formulas look different at first sight, but this paper focuses on cases where the Taylor formula (2) takes the form (1) of a reproduction formula in $I$. It turns out that there is a variety of interesting kernels allowing this identification, i.e. roughly all kernels $K(x,t)$ which are power series in $xt$ with nonnegative coefficients. Each of these kernels defines a “native” Hilbert space in which it is reproducing via both (2) and (1), and allows the application of standard results concerning interpolation and approximation by spaces of the form

$$F_X := \text{Span} \{K(x, \cdot) : x \in X\},$$

for fixed finite sets $X := \{x_0, \ldots, x_n\} \subset I$. These spaces can consist of exponentials, rationals, hyperbolic cosines, logarithms, and Bessel functions, for instance, depending on which kernel is chosen. Thus we can derive results on approximation and interpolation by these families from known results on kernel-based interpolation and approximation. These include optimality properties and error bounds, the latter being particularly interesting when they take the form of Bernstein–type theorems concerning spectral approximation orders for approximations of analytic functions. Such theorems come as special cases of general results of [15] on power series kernels. The paper closes with some numerical examples.

## 2 Taylor Spaces

We deal with Taylor spaces in the spirit of [8], and hope that there will be no confusion with the related Taylor spaces introduced by Calderon and Zygmund [6]. We fix a subset $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \}$ and consider functions $f$ for which the remainder-free Taylor formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^j,$$

is valid and allows reproduction of $f$ via its derivatives at zero.

If $\mathcal{N}$ is finite and of the form $\mathcal{N} := \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, the admissible functions $f$ form the space $\mathbb{P}_n$ of polynomials of degree at most $n$. Other finite sets $\mathcal{N}$ lead to special spaces of lacunary polynomials. If $\mathcal{N}$ is an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \}$, we shall require (4) to be valid in a neighborhood of the origin with absolute convergence. This suggests to work in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ in all cases, allow complex-valued functions, and assume (4) to hold at least on some disc

$$D_R := \{x \in \mathbb{C} : |x| < R\}$$

of a finite radius $R > 0$ with absolute convergence. But we can also consider cases where (4) is absolutely convergent in the full complex plane, defining an entire function $f$ there, and we shall refer to this case via $R = \infty$. 
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Before we take a closer look at spaces of functions satisfying (4) for infinite \( \mathcal{N} \), we consider ways to turn (4) into a reproduction formula of the form (1). Here, we focus on a special technique [12] using weighted series expansions. We take positive weights \( \lambda_j \) for all \( j \in \mathcal{N} \) satisfying

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{R^{2j} \lambda_j}{(j!)^2} < \infty, \quad R < \infty
\]

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{\lambda_j}{j!^{1/2}} < \infty, \quad R = \infty
\]

noting that Stirling’s formula implies the first condition for all \( R > 0 \) if the second is satisfied. Then we form the weighted inner product

\[
(f, g) := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)g^{(j)}(0)}{\lambda_j}
\]

on the space of all functions \( f \) which have all derivatives \( f^{(j)}(0) \) for \( j \in \mathcal{N} \), satisfy (4) and additionally also

\[
\|f\|^2 := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{|f^{(j)}(0)|^2}{\lambda_j} < \infty.
\]

We denote the completion of the space of such functions under the above inner product by \( F \) and call the resulting Hilbert space a Taylor space. We drop the dependence of \( F \) on the sets \( \mathcal{N} \) and

\[
\Lambda := \{ \lambda_j : j \in \mathcal{N} \}
\]

for the reader’s convenience, but note that the weight set \( \Lambda \) will in all cases determine the inner product structure on \( F \). Rewritten in power series form, the functions in \( F \) are

\[
f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} a_n z^n \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{n!^2}{\lambda_n} |a_n|^2 < \infty
\]

with \( a_n = f^{(n)}(0)/n! \). The inequality above is equivalent to (7), and together with (5) it implies that (4) is absolutely convergent in \( D_R \) for finite \( R \) and in \( \mathbb{C} \) for \( R = \infty \). In fact, in \( D_R \) we have

\[
|f(z)|^2 \leq \left( \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{|a_n|^2 (n!)^2}{\lambda_n} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{R^{2n} \lambda_n}{(n!)^2} \right) < \infty
\]

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.
\textbf{Theorem 2.1.} If $\mathcal{N}$ is finite, the Taylor space $F$ with respect to $\mathcal{N}$ consists of the span of the monomials $x^j$ for $j \in \mathcal{N}$. If $\mathcal{N}$ is infinite, and if weights $\lambda_j$ are chosen with (5), the Taylor space $F$ consists of real-analytic functions with power series expansions around zero which are absolutely convergent in $D_R$. The space will depend on $\Lambda$, consist of all functions $f$ of the form (4) with (7) and carry the inner product (6).

We still have to turn (4) into a Hilbert space reproduction formula

$$f(x) = (f, K(x, \cdot)) \text{ for all } x \in D_R, \ f \in F$$ (9)

with a suitable positive (semi--) definite reproducing kernel

$$K : D_R \times D_R \to \mathbb{C}.$$ As is well-known, such a kernel must exist and is uniquely defined.

\textbf{Theorem 2.2.} The kernel

$$K(x, t) := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_j \frac{(t x)^j}{j!^2} =: \kappa(t x), \ x, t \in I$$ (10)

is well-defined due to (5) and the series is absolutely convergent for infinite $\mathcal{N}$ and for all $x, t \in D_R$. It is positive (semi--) definite and reproducing in the Taylor space, and the Taylor formula coincides with the reproduction formula (1).

\textbf{Proof:} The first sentence follows from arguments we have used before. Now we evaluate

$$\left. \frac{\partial^j}{\partial y^j} \right|_{y=0} K(x, t) = \lambda_j \frac{t^j x^j}{j!} \text{ and } (f, K(x, \cdot)) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \lambda_j x^j = f(x).$$

This yields plenty of examples of kernels when starting from power series

$$\kappa(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j \frac{z^j}{(j!)^2}$$

which represent complex-analytic functions in a neighborhood of the origin. The connection to kernels $K(x, t)$ is via $K(x, t) = \kappa(t x)$. It allows selections of $\lambda_j$ which grow like $R^j (j!)^2$ for $j \to \infty$ and arbitrary $R > 0$. Consequently,
there are surprisingly many spaces which allow the Taylor formula for reproduction. Table 1 gives some examples that we partially cover later in more detail. Many of these are special instances of hypergeometric functions, i.e.

\[ 2F_1(a, b; c, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n z^n}{(c)_n n!} \]

\[ _pF_q(a_1, \ldots, a_p; b_1, \ldots, b_q, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n z^n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n n!} \]

with the Pochhammer symbol

\[(a)_n := a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1).\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \kappa(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j \frac{z^j}{(j!)^2} )</th>
<th>( \mathcal{N} )</th>
<th>( \lambda_j )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((1 - z)^{-1}, 0 \leq</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>&lt; 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((1 - z^2)^{-1}, 0 \leq</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>&lt; 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((1 - z)^{-\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>&lt; 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((1 - z^2)^{-\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>&lt; 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-\frac{\log(1-z)}{z}, 0 \leq</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>&lt; 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\exp(z))</td>
<td>(N)</td>
<td>(j! )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\exp(z))</td>
<td>(N)</td>
<td>(j! )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sinh(z))</td>
<td>(2N + 1)</td>
<td>(j! )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sinh(z)/z)</td>
<td>(2N)</td>
<td>(\frac{j!}{j+1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\cosh(z))</td>
<td>(2N)</td>
<td>(j! )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(z^{-\alpha} I_{\alpha}(z))</td>
<td>(2N)</td>
<td>(\frac{2^{j+\alpha} \Gamma(j+\alpha+1)}{j!} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Some Kernels for Taylor Spaces

Other and much more general cases for multivariate power kernels are covered by [15]. In complex analysis, special cases of Taylor kernels and their associated native spaces are well studied, see e.g., [11, 9, 3, 2].

3 Interpolation

In what follows, we shall consider interpolation at finite sets

\[ X := \{x_0, \ldots, x_n\} \subset D_R \]
of pairwise different nodes by functions from the space (3). Interpolation of 
*arbitrary* data on $X$ requires nonsingularity of the *kernel matrix*

$$A_X := (K(x_j, x_k))_{0 \leq j, k \leq n}.$$ 

It is hermitian and positive semidefinite because it can be written as a 
Gramian, but it is positive definite only if additional conditions are satis-
fied.

Before we focus on such conditions, we consider the case that the inter-
polated data on $X$ come from a function $f$ in the Taylor space $F$ for $K$. For 
these special data, the system is always solvable. In fact, the Hilbert space 
projector $\Pi_X$ from $F$ to $F_X$ yields a function $\Pi_X(f) = s_{f,X} \in F_X$ such 
that $f - \Pi_X(f)$ is orthogonal to $F_X$. By (1) this means that $s_{f,X}$ interpolates $f$ 
in $X$.

For checking positive definiteness of the kernel matrix, let $a \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be 
a vector with

$$0 = a^T A_X \pi = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{\lambda_m}{(m!)^2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x_j^m \right)^2. $$

Positive definiteness of the kernel matrix is guaranteed, if

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x_j^m$$

implies $a = 0$, i.e. iff the $|\mathcal{N}| \times |X|$ Vandermonde matrix built from the 
$n + 1 = |X|$ points in $X$ and the $|\mathcal{N}|$ exponents in $\mathcal{N}$ has rank $n + 1$. In 
case $\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ with $N \geq n$, the matrix is positive definite, but 
for other cases of finite $\mathcal{N}$, the above nondegeneracy condition is all we can say 
here. However, for $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}$ we have positive definiteness for all point sets. 
The same holds for $\mathcal{N} = 2\mathbb{N}$ if symmetry under squaring is avoided, i.e. if 
all $|x_j|^2$ are different.

## 4 Properties of Interpolants

(seeProp) The existence of interpolants being settled to some extent, we 
Camply apply known results from the theory of scattered data interpolation [14] 
to interpolation in Taylor spaces.

The first type of results concerns optimality. The interpolant $s_{f,X} \in F_X$ 
to some function $f \in F$ on a discrete set $X$ satisfies the *norm-minimality 
property*

$$\|s_{f,X}\|_F \leq \|g\|_F$$

for all $g \in F$ with $g|_X = f|_X$ and in particular

$$\|s_{f,X}\|_F \leq \|f\|_F.$$
We now focus on error bounds and another optimality criterion. Consider all linear functionals of the form

$$\mu_{a,x} := f \mapsto f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^n a_j f(x_j)$$

with arbitrary vectors $a \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and points $x \in D_R$. It is well-known in the context of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces that the solution of

$$\min_{a \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}} \|\mu_{a,x}\|_{F,*} =: P_X(x)$$ (11)

exists and is attained at a vector $a = u(x) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ which solves the system

$$K(x, x_k) = \sum_{j=0}^n u_j(x)K(x_j, x_k), \ 0 \leq k \leq n$$

which is solvable because of $K(x, \cdot) \in F$. The solution is unique and satisfies Lagrange conditions $u_j(x_k) = \delta_{jk}$ if the system is nonsingular, but in general we know only that the solution may be nonunique. But we now can define the function

$$L_{f,X}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^n f(x_j)u_j(x)$$

for all $x \in D_R$

and by construction we have the standard error bound

$$|f(x) - L_{f,X}(x)| \leq P_X(x)\|f\|_F$$ for all $f \in F, \ x \in D_R$ (12)

with the power function $P_X(x)$ defined in (11). This function can be explicitly calculated, since we have

$$P_X(x)^2 = \|\mu_{u(x),x}\|_{F,*}^2 = K(x, x) - \sum_{j=0}^n u_j(x)K(x_j, x) - \sum_{j=0}^n \overline{u_j(x)}K(x_j, x) + \sum_{j,k=0}^n u_j(x)u_k(x)K(x_j, x_k).$$

Note that $P_X$ is uniquely defined even if the interpolation problem is not uniquely solvable.

Since (11) implies that the optimal functional $\mu_{u(x),x}$ must be orthogonal to all functionals $\delta_{x_j}$, we know that $L_{f,X}$ is an interpolant to $f$ on $X$ which will coincide with $s_{f,X}$ if the system is nonsingular. In any case, the error bound (12) is useful. For instance, one can generate new interpolation points by maximizing $P_X$ [7], or one can assess the quality of polynomial interpolation on point sets whose cardinality is much lower than the degree. We shall provide an example in the final section.
If we are interested in asymptotic results for \( n \to \infty \), we have to confine ourselves to the case of infinite \( \mathcal{N} \). Furthermore, we restrict ourselves now to interpolation and evaluation on intervals \( I := [-a, a] \) with \( a \leq R \) and consider only functions \( f \) from \( F \) with real coefficients in their power series, and we denote the resulting space by \( F_R \). If we interpolate functions \( f \) from \( F_R \), we shall make use of the analyticity of \( f \) and apply results of [15] after some modifications. These error bounds will in all cases come out to be of spectral order in terms of the \( \ell^2 \) distance

\[
h := \sup_{x \in I} \min_{x_j \in X} |x_j - x|
\]

of \( X \) in \( I \). Since we shall later work with univariate functions on bounded intervals only, we can assume \( h \approx 1/n \) in case of \( n \) quasi-uniformly distributed data points, i.e., those with \emph{bounded mesh ratio} in the sense used in spline theory.

5 Examples

5.1 Hardy Space

We consider the case \( \kappa(z) = (1 - z)^{-1} \) on the open unit disc \( D_1 \). This yields the Szegö kernel

\[
S(\omega, z) := \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\omega}z} \quad \text{for all } \omega, z \in D_1.
\]

The native Hilbert space for this kernel is the well-known Hardy space \( H^2 \) which consists of those functions analytic in the unit disc whose Taylor coefficients form a square-summable series. It is known [see, e.g., [3, 2]] that the norm can also be realized as an integral

\[
\|f\|_{H^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 \, d\theta.
\]

The reproducing formula then becomes the Cauchy formula. Thus the two most interesting reproduction formulas agree in this case and take the form of Hilbert space reproduction formulas.

When working in an interval \( I = [-a, a] \) with \( 0 < a < 1 \) and real-valued functions, we get

**Proposition 5.1.** The native Hilbert space \( F_R \) for the rational kernel \( R(x, t) = (1 - xt)^{-1} \) consists of real-valued functions whose complex extensions lie in the Hardy space \( H^2 \).

Interpolation in point sets \( X = \{x_0, \ldots, x_n\} \subset I = [-a, a] \) with \( 0 < a < 1 \) using this kernel and the trial space \( \mathcal{P} \) will be in terms of rational functions
\[
p/q \text{ with polynomials } p \text{ and } q \text{ of degree up to } n \text{ and } n + 1, \text{ respectively, the denominator polynomial } q \text{ being fixed up to a multiplicative constant by its zeros in the points } 1/x_j, \ 0 \leq j \leq n. \text{ These classical rational interpolants are easy to calculate via polynomial interpolation of degree } n.
\]

For interpolation in the corresponding native Hilbert space, we obtain the following convergence results from [15]:

**Theorem 5.2.** 1. For each \(0 < a < 1\) there are constants \(c_1, h_0 > 0\) such that for any discrete set \(X \subset I = [-a, a]\) with fill distance \(h \leq h_0\) and any function \(f \in F_R\), the error between \(f\) and its interpolant \(s_{f,X}\) is bounded by

\[
\|f - s_{f,X}\|_{L_{\infty}[-a,a]} \leq e^{-c_1/h} \|f\|_{N_R}.
\]

2. Suppose \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(0 < a < 1\). Then there are constants \(c_2, \tilde{h}_0 > 0\) such that for all discrete sets \(X \subset I = [-a, a]\) with fill distance \(h \leq \tilde{h}_0\) and any function \(f \in N_R\), the error between the \(n\)-th derivative of \(f\) and its interpolant \(s_{f,X}\) is bounded by

\[
\|f^{(n)} - s_{f,X}^{(n)}\|_{L_{\infty}[-a,a]} \leq e^{-c_2/\sqrt{n}} \|f\|_{N_R}.
\]

Note that for quasi-uniform data sites we get spectral or exponential convergence with respect to \(n\).

### 5.2 Bergman Space

Similarly we can proceed for the **Bergman kernel**

\[
B_C(w, z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{w}z)^2}
\]

on the unit disc. The native Hilbert space for this kernel is the Bergman space \(B^2\), which consists of those holomorphic functions on the unit disc that are square-summable with respect to the planar Lebesgue measure \(m\) [2]. The norm can be realized as

\[
\|f\|_{B^2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 \, dm(z).
\]

Note that the Bergman space contains the Hardy space.

When restricting everything to the real line and real-valued functions, we get

**Proposition 5.3.** The native Hilbert space \(F_R\) for the rational kernel \(B(x,t) := (1 - xt)^{-2}\) on intervals \(I := [-a, a]\) with \(0 < a < 1\) consists of real-valued functions analytic in \(I\) whose complex extensions lie in the Bergman space \(B^2\).
Now the kernel–based interpolation problem on \( n + 1 \) points uses rational functions \( p/q \) where \( q \) has the points \( 1/x_j \) as double zeros and is of degree \( 2n + 2 \), while \( p \) is of degree at most \( 2n \). For interpolation in \( F_{KL} \) for the Bergman kernel we obtain the following convergence results.

**Theorem 5.4.** 1. For all \( 0 < a < 1 \) there are constants \( c_1, h_0 > 0 \) such that for any discrete set \( X \subset [-a, a] \) with fill distance \( h \leq h_0 \) and any function \( f \in F_{KL} \), the error between \( f \) and its interpolant \( s_{f,X} \) is bounded by

\[
\| f - s_{f,X} \|_{L^\infty([-a,a])} \leq e^{-c_1/h} \| f \|_{N_B}.
\]

2. Suppose \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 0 < a < 1 \). Then there are constants \( c_2, h_0 > 0 \) such that for all discrete sets \( X \subset [-a, a] \) with fill distance \( h \leq h_0 \) and any function \( f \in N_{KL} \), the error between the \( n \)-th derivative of \( f \) and its interpolant \( s_{f,X} \) is bounded by

\[
\left\| f^{(n)} - s_{f,X}^{(n)} \right\|_{L^\infty([-a,a])} \leq e^{-c_2/\sqrt{n}} \| f \|_{N_B}.
\]

**Proof:**

1. By [15, Thm. 3], it suffices to check that

\[
\tilde{C}^{(2k)} := \sup_{x,y \in [-a,a]} \left| D_y^{2k} \frac{1}{(1-xy)^2} \right| \leq c^k k!^2
\]

holds for almost all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with some constant \( c \) independent of \( k \), where \( D_y^\ell \) denotes the \( \ell \)-th derivative with respect to the variable \( y \). Indeed, we find inductively

\[
\tilde{C}^{(2k)} := \sup_{x,y \in [-a,a]} \left| D_y^{2k} \frac{1}{(1-xy)^2} \right| = \sup_{x,y \in [-a,a]} \frac{(2k+1) a^{2k}}{(1-a^2)^{2k+2}} \leq \left( \frac{6a^2}{(1-a^2)^2} \right)^k k!^2.
\]

2. By [15, Thm. 6], it suffices to check that

\[
C^{(2k)} := \max_{\ell+m=2k} \sup_{x,y \in (-a,a)} \left| D_x^m D_y^\ell K(x,y) \right| \leq c^k k!^2
\]

holds for some constant \( c \) independent of \( k \). By symmetry, we may
assume $m \leq \ell$. Explicit calculations yield

$$C^{(2k)} = \max_{\ell + m = 2k} \sup_{x,y \in [-a,a]} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) x^n y^n \right|$$

$$= \max_{\ell + m = 2k} \sup_{x,y \in [-a,a]} \left| \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)!n!}{(n-\ell)!(n-m)!} x^{n-m} y^{n-\ell} \right|$$

$$= a^{-2k} \max_{\ell + m = 2k} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)!n!}{(n-\ell)!(n-m)!} a^{2n}.$$  

We claim that the maximum is attained for $\ell = m = k$. Indeed, if $\ell \geq m + 2$, we find the term-wise bound

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)!n!}{(n-\ell)!(n-m)!} a^{2n} \leq \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)!n!}{(n-\ell+1)!(n-m-1)!} a^{2n}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=\ell-1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)!n!}{(n-(\ell-1))(n-(m+1))} a^{2n},$$

which implies that the maximum is attained for the symmetric situation $m = \ell = k$. Thus we have with the hypergeometric function (see [1, ch. 15])

$$C^{(2k)} = a^{-2k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)!n!}{(n-k)!^2} a^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k+1)!(n+k)!}{n!^2} a^{2n}$$

$$= k! (k+1)! F \left( k+2, k+1; 1; a^2 \right)$$

$$= k! (k+1)! (1-a^2)^{-2-k} F \left( 2 + k, -k; 1; \frac{a^2}{a^2 - 1} \right)$$

$$= k! (k+1)! (1-a^2)^{-k-1} F \left( -k - 1, k + 1; 1; \frac{a^2}{a^2 - 1} \right)$$

[1, (15.3.4)]

$$[1, (15.3.3)] .$$

We apply [1, (15.4.6)] with $n = k + 1$, $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$, which gives

$$C^{(2k)} = (k+1)!k! (1-a^2)^{-k-1} F_{k+1}^{(0,-1)} \left( \frac{a^2 + 1}{1-a^2} \right) .$$

By the recurrence relation [1, (22.7.16)] for the Jacobi polynomials, we have

$$(k+1) P_{k+1}^{(0,-1)} (x) = \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) (1 + x) P_k^{(0,0)} (x) - k P_k^{(0,-1)} (x) .$$

Thus, we have with the Legendre polynomials $P_k := P_k^{(0,0)}$

$$\left| P_{k+1}^{(0,-1)} (x) \right| \leq |1 + x| |P_k (x)| + \left| P_k^{(0,-1)} (x) \right| \leq \ldots$$

$$\leq |1 + x| (|P_k (x)| + |P_{k-1} (x)| + \cdots + |P_0 (x)|) + \left| P_0^{(0,-1)} (x) \right| .$$

11
In [15, Proof of Lemma 5] it is shown that

$$|P_k(x)| \leq (2|x| + 1)^n.$$ 

Hence,

$$\left| P_{k+1}^{(0, -1)}(x) \right| \leq |1 + x| \sum_{n=0}^{k} (2|x| + 1)^n + 1 \leq k|1 + x| (2|x| + 1)^k \leq c^k,$$

where the constant $c$ depends only on $x = \frac{a^2 + 1}{a^2 - 1}$ but not on $k$. Putting things together, we have

$$C^{(2k)} = (k + 1)!k! (1 - a^2)^{-k-1} P_{k+1}^{(0, -1)} \left( -\frac{a^2 + 1}{a^2 - 1} \right) \leq c^k k! (k + 1)! \leq c^k k^{2k}$$

with some constant $c$ independent of $k$.

\[\square\]

5.3 Dirichlet Space

There are also Taylor kernels of logarithmic type. A well known one is the kernel $L(x, y) := -\frac{1}{xy} \log (1 - xy)$, which can be extended via

$$L(w, z) := -\frac{1}{z\bar{w}} \log (1 - z\bar{w}) .$$

This kernel is the reproducing kernel for the Dirichlet space $D$ [2], which consists of those holomorphic functions $f$ on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ whose derivative $f'$ is in the Bergman space.

**Proposition 5.5.** The native Hilbert space for the logarithmic kernel $L$ consists of real-valued functions analytic in $[-a, a]$ whose complex extensions lie in the Dirichlet space $D$.

The interpolation on $X := \{x_0, \ldots, x_n\} \subset I = [-a, a]$ for $0 < a < 1$ is now carried out with linear combinations of functions

$$-\frac{1}{t} \log(1 - tx_j) \quad \text{for} \quad x_j \neq 0,$$

$$1 \quad \text{for} \quad x_j = 0,$$

which is quite an unusual setting.

The approximation orders due to [15] are the same as for the rational case. Thus Theorem 5.2 can be reformulated exactly also for this kernel and functions from Dirichlet space.
5.4 The exponential case

In this section we consider a kernel of exponential type on $\mathbb{R}$, namely

$$E(x,t) := \exp(xt)$$

which arises when the entire function $\kappa(z) = \exp(z)$ is restricted to the real line. The native Hilbert space becomes

$$N_E := \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \mid f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ with } a_n \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and consists of real-analytic functions with entire complex extensions. If an interval $I := [-a,a]$ is fixed, and if point sets $X := \{x_0, \ldots, x_n\} \subset I$ are used for interpolation, we have an interpolation with classical exponential sums, the $x_j$ being fixed frequencies. We shall remove this coupling between frequencies and data points later.

To characterize functions from $N_E$ more precisely, we recall some basic definitions from [5, Chapters 1 and 2].

**Definition 5.6.** For an entire function $f$ of a complex variable $z$, we denote by $M(r)$ the maximum modulus of $f(z)$ for $|z| = r < \infty$. We say that $f$ is of order $\rho$ if

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} = \rho.$$ 

By convention, a constant function has order $0$.

**Theorem 5.7.**

1. The native Hilbert space $N_E$ of the exponential kernel consists of real-valued analytic functions that have entire complex extensions. In particular, it contains all polynomials.

2. If an entire function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ with real coefficients $a_n$ is of order $\rho < 2$, then its restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ lies in $N_E$.

3. For any function from $N_E$, the complex extension is of order less than or equal to 2.

**Proof:**

1. Assume $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in N_E$. Then the natural complex extension $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ converges in the whole complex plane since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \leq \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|^2 n!} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{1/2} = 0.$$
2. If an entire function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is of order $\rho = 2 - 2\epsilon$ with $1 > \epsilon > 0$, then by [5, Thm. 2.2.2],

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|a_n|)} = 2 - 2\epsilon,
\]

where the expression on the right is to be taken as 0 if $a_n = 0$. Since $a_n^2 n!$ converges to 0, there is an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $a_n \leq 1$. Then by definition of the limit, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$,

\[
n \log n \leq (2 - \epsilon) \log \left( \frac{1}{|a_n|} \right).
\]

This implies $|a_n| \leq n^{-\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}$, and therefore

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! a_n^2 \leq C + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n! n^{-\frac{2n}{2-\epsilon}} \leq C + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{2n}{2-\epsilon}} < \infty.
\]

If $f$ is of order 0,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|a_n|)} = 0,
\]

which yields that there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$,

\[
n \log n \leq \log \left( \frac{1}{|a_n|} \right),
\]

and we can proceed as above, choosing $\epsilon = 1$.

3. Assume $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in N_E$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$, such that $a_n^2 \leq C/n!$, i.e., by Stirling’s formula

\[
|a_n| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n!}} \leq \frac{C e^{n/2}}{n^{n/2}}.
\]

Thus,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log (1/|a_n|)} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log n + \frac{1}{2} \log n - \log C - \frac{1}{2}} = 2.
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.8.** Theorem 5.7 is sharp in the sense that there are functions of order $\rho = 2$ that lie in $N_E$ and some that do not. For instance $f_1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} x^n$ and $f_2(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+n/2}} x^n$ both are of order 2, and $f_1 \in N_E$ while $f_2 \notin N_E$. 
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Following [15, Cor. 1 and 2], we have the following approximation orders for classical interpolation in $N_E$.

**Theorem 5.9.** 1. For all $a > 0$, there are constants $c_1, h_0 > 0$ such that for any discrete set $X \subset I = [-a, a]$ with fill distance $h \leq h_0$ and any function $f \in N_E$, the error between $f$ and its interpolant $s_{f,X}$ is bounded by

$$||f - s_{f,X}||_{L_\infty[-a,a]} \leq c_1 \log h / h \|f\|_{N_E}.$$  

2. Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a > 0$. Then there are constants $c_2, \tilde{h}_0 > 0$ such that for all discrete sets $X \subset I = [-a, a]$ with fill distance $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$ and any function $f \in N_E$ the error between the $n$-th derivative of $f$ and its interpolant $s_{f,X}$ is bounded by

$$||f^{(n)} - s_{f,X}^{(n)}||_{L_\infty[-a,a]} \leq c_2 \log h / \sqrt{h} \|f\|_{N_E}.$$  

Due to some simple calculational tricks, similar results on spectral convergence hold when frequencies are somewhat decoupled from data points. We assume that frequencies $\mu_j$ are chosen with fill distance $h$ in some interval $[a, \beta]$, and we want to work with these frequencies, but evaluate the approximation error on $[-a, a]$. We then use the points

$$x_j := \varphi(\mu_j) := a + \frac{\mu_j - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} (b - a) \in [-a, a]$$

for interpolation in $[-a, a]$ using scaled trial functions $\exp(ctx_j)$ as functions of $t \in [-a, a]$. Since the above error bounds will also hold for scaled kernels, we can take $c = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{b - a}$ to see that we have worked with functions

$$\exp(ctx_j) = \exp \left( ct \left( a + \frac{\mu_j - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} (b - a) \right) \right) = \exp \left( ct \left( a - \frac{\alpha}{\beta - \alpha} (b - a) \right) \right) \exp \left( ct \left( \frac{\mu_j}{\beta - \alpha} (b - a) \right) \right)$$

which now have the desired frequencies. The given function, however, has to be multiplied by $\exp(-t(c(a - \alpha))$ before computations start.

**6 Numerical Examples**

All the subsequent figures use four different interpolants:

- the solid line for the Szegö kernel,
- the dotted line for the exponential kernel,
Figure 1: Errors for $f(x) = \sin(x)$

- the -- line for the log kernel, and
- the -. line for the squared Szegö kernel.

They differ in the function $f$ providing the data. In all cases, the arising kernel matrices are severely ill-conditioned, but we did not apply any preconditioning techniques. The cases with expansions into purely even or purely odd terms are ignored and will be similar, provided that the symmetries are taken into account when setting up the problems. To allow comparisons between kernels, we fixed 9 equidistant data locations on $[-0.9, +0.9]$ in all cases. Since the scalings of the figures might be hard to read, we present the $L_\infty$ errors in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Szegö</th>
<th>exp</th>
<th>log</th>
<th>$\text{Szegö}^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sin(x)$</td>
<td>7.31e-04</td>
<td>6.53e-08</td>
<td>2.59e-04</td>
<td>2.30e-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1/(1 + x^2/25)$</td>
<td>8.73e-06</td>
<td>8.76e-10</td>
<td>2.97e-06</td>
<td>2.83e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1/(1 + x^2)$</td>
<td>1.49e-02</td>
<td>1.11e-03</td>
<td>9.67e-03</td>
<td>2.48e-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1/(1 + 25x^2)$</td>
<td>3.89e+00</td>
<td>9.07e-01</td>
<td>2.97e+00</td>
<td>5.38e+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$-spline</td>
<td>1.23e+00</td>
<td>2.88e-01</td>
<td>9.36e-01</td>
<td>1.70e+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: $L_\infty$ errors for different examples and kernels

It is to be expected that the location of singularities of $f$ outside the interval will be of quite some influence on the error. For the entire function $\sin(x)$, one can expect the best possible behavior, and the results are in Figure 1. We then have three examples of the Runge type, the singularities moving closer from $\pm 5i$ via $\pm i$ to $\pm 0.2i$, see Figures 2 to 4. The results get
dramatically worse, and for the B-spline $f(x) = 1 - |x|$ they are disastrous, but this function is too far away from any of the native Hilbert spaces of analytic functions.

In all cases, the exponential kernel performed best, followed by the log kernel, the Szegő kernel, and the squared Szegő kernel.
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Figure 3: Errors for $f(x) = 1/(1 + x^2)$

Figure 4: Errors for $f(x) = 1/(1 + 25x^2)$


